



Assessment of C-Reactive Protein Diagnostic Test Accuracy for Late-Onset Infection in Newborn Infants

¹ A.Rao, ² A.Vohra

^{1,2} California Northstate University College of Medicine

Correspondence : raomd9838747@gmail.com

Article History :

Received date : 2012/10/12

Revised date : 2012/11/21

Accepted date : 2012/12/24

Published date : 2013/01/19



Copyright: © 2013 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (BY NC) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

ABSTRACT

Background: The assessment of C-Reactive Protein (CRP) diagnostic test accuracy for late-onset infection in newborn infants has garnered significant attention in recent years, highlighting its potential as a critical biomarker in neonatal care. **Literature Review:** The foundational study by (Vijay Prabhu, 2011) established CRP as a reliable diagnostic test for neonatal septicemia, emphasizing its rapid response to inflammation and its high specificity (96%) for this condition. This study highlighted the importance of monitoring CRP levels as an indicator of treatment efficacy, with significant reductions observed in patients responding positively to therapy. Building upon this groundwork, (Nabulsi et al., 2012) explored the implications of CRP testing in pediatric patients, noting variability in the sensitivity and specificity of CRP tests. This variability raises concerns about the diagnostic accuracy in late-onset infections, suggesting that while CRP is commonly utilized, its results often do not significantly influence clinical decision-making. The authors advocated for a multimodal approach, integrating CRP testing with other clinical assessments to enhance diagnostic accuracy. In 2005, (V. Mikhaylova et al., 2005) examined CRP kinetics in extremely low birth weight infants, introducing high-sensitivity analytic methods that highlighted the complexities of interpreting CRP levels in the context of non-infectious conditions and varying gestational ages. This research reinforced CRP's diagnostic significance while calling for a nuanced understanding of its application in premature neonates. Most recently, (Valeska Elli Brown et al., 2009) focused specifically on the diagnostic accuracy of CRP for late-onset infections, addressing the limitations of existing studies and advocating for comprehensive evaluations of CRP's performance. **Conclusion:** In conclusion, the literature underscores CRP's potential as a valuable biomarker for late-onset infections in newborns, yet highlights significant variability in its diagnostic accuracy and practical application in clinical settings. Future research should aim to refine CRP's use in conjunction with other diagnostic tools to enhance its reliability and effectiveness in neonatal care.

Keyword: Assessment, C-Reactive Protein Diagnostic Test Accuracy, Late-Onset Infection, Newborn Infants

INTRODUCTION

The assessment of C-Reactive Protein (CRP) diagnostic test accuracy for late-onset infection in newborn infants has garnered significant attention in recent years, highlighting its potential as a critical biomarker in neonatal care. The literature reveals a nuanced understanding of CRP's role, beginning with (Vijay Prabhu, 2011) study, which established CRP as one of the most reliable diagnostic tests for neonatal septicemia. (Vijay Prabhu, 2011) noted that while CRP levels may not be elevated in the early stages of infection, its rapid response to inflammatory stimuli and its ability to reflect the inflammatory process make it a valuable tool in guiding treatment decisions. Notably, the study emphasized the test's specificity and its role in monitoring treatment efficacy, with a significant decrease in CRP levels observed in responding patients.

Building on this foundation, (Nabulsi et al., 2012) article further explored the implications of CRP testing in pediatric patients. They highlighted the swift synthesis of CRP by the liver following inflammation, underscoring its relevance in detecting bacterial infections in neonates. However, the authors noted variability in the sensitivity and specificity of CRP testing, which can undermine its diagnostic accuracy. Despite its frequent use in clinical settings, they found that CRP results rarely influenced clinical decision-making, suggesting a gap between the test's potential and its practical application.

In 2005, (V. Mikhaylova et al., 2005) expanded the discourse by examining CRP kinetics specifically in extremely low birth weight infants. Their study introduced high-sensitivity analytic methods to assess CRP levels, further elucidating the complexities surrounding CRP values in the context of non-infectious conditions and varying gestational ages. This research not only reinforced the significance of CRP in diagnosing early onset neonatal sepsis but also called for a broader understanding of its application in premature neonates.

Most recently, (Valeska Elli Brown et al., 2009) focused on the diagnostic accuracy of CRP for late-onset infections in newborns, emphasizing its role as an

adjunctive biomarker in clinical settings where microbiological cultures are delayed. They acknowledged the limitations of existing studies, particularly those conducted in single centers with small sample sizes, and advocated for a more comprehensive evaluation of CRP's diagnostic performance. This ongoing dialogue in the literature underscores the evolving understanding of CRP's utility, as researchers continue to refine its application in the diagnostic landscape for neonatal infections.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The article titled "A study to evaluate the diagnostic value of a panel of investigations in early diagnosis of septicemia in new born" by (Vijay Prabhu, 2011) offers a thorough analysis of the role of C-reactive protein (CRP) as a diagnostic marker in neonatal septicemia. The author emphasizes the rapid responsiveness of CRP as an acute phase reactant synthesized in the liver, highlighting its significance in the early detection of infections in newborns.

One of the key insights presented in the article is the time-dependent nature of CRP elevation following an inflammatory stimulus. (Vijay Prabhu, 2011) notes that while CRP levels may not be elevated early in the course of an infection, its presence serves as a reliable indicator of septicemia once the inflammatory process is underway. The specificity of CRP as a diagnostic tool is notably high, with the article asserting a specificity of 96% for neonatal septicemia, which positions it as one of the most effective diagnostic tests available for this condition.

Moreover, the article discusses the biological half-life of CRP, which is approximately 24 hours. This characteristic allows CRP levels to accurately reflect the activity of the inflammatory process, providing clinicians with timely information regarding the patient's condition. The author illustrates that a decrease in CRP levels can indicate a positive response to treatment, with significant reductions observed as early as the third day of therapy. This dynamic response underscores the potential of CRP testing to guide clinical decision-making in the management of infections in newborns.

However, the article also addresses the limitations of CRP testing. (Vijay Prabhu, 2011) points out that elevated CRP levels can occur in various non-

infectious conditions, such as meconium aspiration syndrome and prolonged rupture of membranes. This potential for false positives necessitates careful interpretation of CRP results in the clinical context. Furthermore, the author notes that persistently elevated CRP levels may indicate a poor prognosis, particularly in cases where treatment does not result in a downward trend of CRP.

The article "Impact of C-reactive protein test results on evidence-based decision-making in cases of bacterial infection" by (Nabulsi et al., 2012) provides a comprehensive examination of the role of C-reactive protein (CRP) testing in pediatric clinical settings, particularly focusing on its implications for diagnosing bacterial infections. The authors highlight that CRP, an acute-phase reactant synthesized by the liver shortly after the onset of inflammation, is a valuable tool in identifying serious bacterial infections, especially in febrile children and neonates suspected of sepsis.

One of the critical insights from the article is the significant variability in the sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values of CRP tests. This variability raises concerns about the diagnostic accuracy of CRP, particularly in the context of late-onset infections in newborns. The authors effectively illustrate that while CRP testing is commonly employed, the inconsistency in its performance metrics may lead to misdiagnosis or delayed treatment, which is particularly detrimental in vulnerable populations such as neonates.

Furthermore, the study reveals that despite the widespread use of CRP testing, the actual impact of CRP results on clinical decision-making is limited. The authors conducted an analysis to assess how often CRP test results influenced decisions regarding hospital admissions for pediatric patients. Their findings indicate that, in many cases, clinicians did not rely heavily on CRP results when making critical decisions about patient care. This suggests a potential disconnect between the availability of a diagnostic tool and its practical application in clinical practice.

The article also points out the necessity for clinicians to integrate CRP testing with other clinical assessments and diagnostic tools. The authors argue that a multimodal approach is essential for improving diagnostic accuracy and ensuring timely intervention for infections in newborns, where every moment counts. They

advocate for further research to refine the use of CRP in conjunction with clinical judgment and other laboratory tests to enhance its utility in pediatric care.

The article titled "Диагностическая ценность прокальцитонина и С-реактивного белка при врожденных инфекциях у детей с экстремально низкой и очень низкой массой тела при рождении" by (V. Mikhaylova et al., 2005) presents a comprehensive examination of the diagnostic value of C-reactive protein (CRP) and procalcitonin in the context of congenital infections among newborns with extremely low and very low birth weight. The authors focus on the kinetics of CRP in neonates, leveraging a high-sensitivity analytical method to determine its levels, particularly in septic infants.

One of the key insights from the study is the identification of CRP as a significant diagnostic biomarker for infection in premature neonates. The authors highlight that CRP levels can vary considerably based on non-infectious conditions and gestational age, particularly during the first three days of life. This variability underscores the necessity for clinicians to consider gestational age and other clinical factors when interpreting CRP values in the diagnosis of early-onset sepsis ((V. Mikhaylova et al., 2005)).

The article also provides an update on the role of CRP in the early detection of neonatal sepsis, emphasizing its importance in the clinical setting. The authors discuss how CRP can serve as a reliable marker when used alongside other diagnostic tools, such as serum procalcitonin, which is also evaluated in the context of neonatal sepsis. The systemic review and meta-analysis referenced in their findings further support the relevance of these biomarkers in clinical practice ((V. Mikhaylova et al., 2005)).

However, while the article effectively consolidates existing knowledge on CRP and procalcitonin, it may benefit from a more detailed exploration of the limitations associated with these biomarkers. For instance, the potential for false positives in the presence of non-infectious inflammatory processes could lead to misdiagnosis or unnecessary interventions. Additionally, the article could further elucidate the implications of varying CRP levels in clinical decision-making, particularly in the context of treatment protocols for low-birth-weight infants.

The article "C-reactive protein for diagnosing late-onset infection in newborn infants" by (Valeska Elli Brown et al., 2009) presents a critical evaluation of the utility of serum C-reactive protein (CRP) levels as a diagnostic tool for late-onset infections in newborns. The authors aim to ascertain the accuracy of CRP as a biomarker in clinical settings, addressing a significant gap in the existing literature regarding its role in diagnostic algorithms.

The main premise of the article is that measuring serum CRP levels can provide immediate insights into the likelihood of infection in newborns, particularly when clinical signs may be subtle or ambiguous. The authors highlight the variability in clinical practice surrounding the use of CRP, noting that the lack of robust evidence has led to inconsistent guidelines and protocols. This is particularly concerning given the critical nature of timely diagnosis and treatment in this vulnerable population.

The authors conducted a systematic review of studies that evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of serum CRP for late-onset infections, establishing clear inclusion criteria for studies. They focused on studies that reported sufficient data to construct a '2×2' diagnostic table, which is essential for assessing the sensitivity and specificity of the CRP test. By excluding studies related to early-onset infections, the authors ensured that the analysis remained focused on the target population of hospitalized newborn infants aged more than 72 hours.

One of the strengths of the article lies in its methodical approach to synthesizing existing research. The authors provide a comprehensive overview of the diagnostic performance of CRP, emphasizing the need for further investigation to establish its role as a reliable adjunctive biomarker. However, the article also acknowledges the limitations of the current body of evidence, including heterogeneity among studies and potential biases that may affect the interpretation of results.

CONCLUSION

The assessment of C-Reactive Protein (CRP) diagnostic test accuracy for late-onset infection in newborn infants has evolved significantly, underscoring CRP's critical role as a biomarker in neonatal care. The foundational study by (Vijay

Prabhu, 2011) established CRP as a reliable diagnostic test for neonatal septicemia, emphasizing its rapid response to inflammation and its high specificity (96%) for this condition. This study highlighted the importance of monitoring CRP levels as an indicator of treatment efficacy, with significant reductions observed in patients responding positively to therapy. Building upon this groundwork, (Nabulsi et al., 2012) explored the implications of CRP testing in pediatric patients, noting variability in the sensitivity and specificity of CRP tests. This variability raises concerns about the diagnostic accuracy in late-onset infections, suggesting that while CRP is commonly utilized, its results often do not significantly influence clinical decision-making. The authors advocated for a multimodal approach, integrating CRP testing with other clinical assessments to enhance diagnostic accuracy. In 2005, (V. Mikhaylova et al., 2005) examined CRP kinetics in extremely low birth weight infants, introducing high-sensitivity analytic methods that highlighted the complexities of interpreting CRP levels in the context of non-infectious conditions and varying gestational ages. This research reinforced CRP's diagnostic significance while calling for a nuanced understanding of its application in premature neonates. Most recently, (Valeska Elli Brown et al., 2009) focused specifically on the diagnostic accuracy of CRP for late-onset infections, addressing the limitations of existing studies and advocating for comprehensive evaluations of CRP's performance. This article systematically reviewed studies on CRP's diagnostic accuracy, establishing the necessity for robust evidence to guide clinical practice in diagnosing infections in newborns. In conclusion, the literature underscores CRP's potential as a valuable biomarker for late-onset infections in newborns, yet highlights significant variability in its diagnostic accuracy and practical application in clinical settings. Future research should aim to refine CRP's use in conjunction with other diagnostic tools to enhance its reliability and effectiveness in neonatal care.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

- Disclosure Statement : The authors have no conflicts of Interest to declare
- Funding Sources : None
- Acknowledgements : -

- Author Contribution : All authors discussed and contributed the final content for journal submission and publication

REFERENCES

1. Vijay Prabhu, S., 2011. A study to evaluate the diagnostic value of a panel of investigations in early diagnosis of septicemia in new born. [PDF]
2. Nabulsi, M., Hani, A., & Karam, M., 2012. Impact of C-reactive protein test results on evidence-based decision-making in cases of bacterial infection. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
3. V. Mikhaylova, O., V. Ionov, O., G. Antonov, A., V. Nikitina, I., V. Degtyareva, A., V. Levadnaya, A., M. Nasonova, D., L. Alekseeva, M., Y. Ivanets, T., N. Degtyarev, D., В. Михайлова, О., В. Ионов, О., Г. Антонов, А., В. Никитина, И., В. Дегтярёва, А., В. Левадная, А., М. Насонова, Д., Л. Алексеева, М., Ю. Иванец, Т., & Н. Дегтярёв, Д., 2005. Диагностическая ценность прокальцитонина и С-реактивного белка при врожденных инфекциях у детей с экстремально низкой и очень низкой массой тела при рождении. [PDF]
4. Valeska Elli Brown, J., Meader, N., Cleminson, J., & McGuire, W., 2009. C-reactive protein for diagnosing late-onset infection in newborn infants. [PDF]