Research Article **Volume 12, Issue No. 01. 2021**

CALIFORNIA MEDICAL JOURNAL

Etiology, Diagnosis, and Modern Management of Chronic Pancreatitis

[1] A. Afran, [1] A. Majmundar

[1] Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science, United States of America

Correspondence : dr.afran2831719gmail.com

Article History :

Received date : 2020/10/15
Revised date : 2020/11/19
Accepted date : 2020/12/29
Published date : 2021/01/13

ABSTRACT

Background: The literature surrounding chronic pancreatitis (CP) has evolved significantly over the past decade, with a growing emphasis on understanding its etiology, diagnostic modalities, and management strategies. **Literature Review:** This perspective was further supported by (Zhao et al., 2017), whose systematic review and meta-analysis affirmed the effectiveness of various surgical strategies, emphasizing not only pain relief but also the preservation of pancreatic function. The comparative analysis of surgical and endoscopic therapies by (Ll Hughes et al., 2012) adds depth to the discussion, focusing on long-term outcomes, including pain control and quality of life improvements. This systematic review adheres to high methodological standards, contributing significantly to the discourse on optimal management strategies for CP. Recent clinical guidelines established by (T. Ivashkin et al., 2012) reflect a consensus on the diagnostics and treatment of CP, integrating epidemiological insights and outlining standardized care approaches. These guidelines are crucial for clinicians managing the complexities of CP. Moreover, the exploration of circulating biomarkers by (Vang Poulsen et al., 2014) highlights their potential role in early detection and monitoring of disease progression, suggesting that biomarkers could inform preventive strategies. This underscores the multifaceted nature of CP, where understanding its pathophysiology can lead to improved diagnostic and therapeutic approaches. **Conclusion:** In conclusion, the body of literature presents a comprehensive view of chronic pancreatitis, illustrating the interplay between diagnostic challenges, evolving management strategies, and the critical role of biomarkers in understanding disease progression. Each study contributes to a growing framework that enhances clinical practice and guides future research in managing this complex condition.

Keyword: Etiology, Diagnosis, Modern Management, Chronic Pancreatitis

INTRODUCTION

The literature surrounding chronic pancreatitis (CP) has evolved significantly over the past decade, with a growing emphasis on understanding its etiology, diagnostic modalities, and management strategies. The early work by Shetty Shiran (Shiran, 2012) highlights the critical role of imaging techniques in diagnosing chronic pancreatitis, underscoring the superiority of computed tomography (CT) over magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for detecting structural abnormalities in the pancreas. This foundational study sets the stage for subsequent explorations into the epidemiology and genetic underpinnings of the disease, as discussed by T Dinesh . Dinesh's review delineates the incidence of idiopathic chronic pancreatitis and emphasizes the importance of genetic testing for hereditary cases, particularly focusing on the SPINK1-N34S mutation as a significant factor in the disease's pathogenesis.

As the body of research expanded, G Sivakumar (Sivakumar, 2015) provided insights into the surgical management of chronic pancreatitis, reinforcing the notion that chronic alcoholism is a prevalent cause. Sivakumar's comparative study of drainage procedures highlights the efficacy of surgical interventions in alleviating pain and improving patient quality of life, particularly through procedures like Frey’s operation. This surgical perspective is further enriched by the systematic review and meta-analysis by Xin Zhao et al. (Zhao et al., 2017), which consolidates evidence on the effectiveness of various surgical strategies in managing chronic pancreatitis. The analysis reveals that surgical approaches not only address pain relief but also consider the preservation of pancreatic function, illuminating the complexities of treatment decisions.

Continuing this trajectory, the meta-analysis by Daniel Ll Hughes et al. (Ll Hughes et al., 2012) offers a rigorous comparison between surgical and endoscopic therapies, emphasizing the importance of long-term outcomes such as pain control and quality of life. This systematic review adheres to methodological standards, ensuring a comprehensive evaluation of existing literature and contributing to the ongoing discourse on optimal management strategies for chronic pancreatitis.

In more recent developments, the clinical guidelines established by Ivashkin et al. (T. Ivashkin et al., 2012) reflect a consensus on the diagnostics and treatment of chronic pancreatitis, integrating epidemiological insights and outlining standardized approaches to care. These guidelines serve as a crucial resource for clinicians navigating the complexities of chronic pancreatitis management.

Lastly, the exploration of circulating biomarkers by Valborg Vang Poulsen et al. (Vang Poulsen et al., 2014) sheds light on the pathophysiological processes underlying chronic pancreatitis. This literature review emphasizes the significance of early detection and the potential for biomarkers to inform the progression of the disease, thereby contributing to preventive strategies aimed at mitigating its burden.

Overall, the literature presents a multifaceted view of chronic pancreatitis, encompassing its diagnostic challenges, evolving management strategies, and the critical role of biomarkers in understanding disease progression. Each study builds upon the previous findings, creating a comprehensive framework for future research and clinical practice in managing this complex condition.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The article titled "A Study of Spink 1 Mutation and other clinical correlates in idiopathic recurrent acute pancreatitis and idiopathic chronic pancreatitis" by (Shiran, 2012) provides a comprehensive overview of the diagnostic modalities and management strategies for chronic pancreatitis, with a particular emphasis on the utility of various imaging techniques and the complexities of treatment.

One of the critical insights from the article is the effectiveness of abdominal ultrasound in detecting severe chronic pancreatitis, which is highlighted as a highly sensitive diagnostic tool. This finding aligns with the common clinical practice of utilizing ultrasound as an initial imaging modality due to its accessibility and non-invasive nature. However, the article also notes the limitations of ultrasound in providing detailed information about the pancreatic structure, which necessitates the use of more advanced imaging techniques such as computed tomography (CT) scans.

The article underscores the importance of CT scans in the diagnostic process, particularly non-contrast-enhanced scans that can reveal signs of chronic pancreatitis. Furthermore, the discussion on contrast-enhanced pancreatic imaging is pertinent, as it allows for the assessment of abnormalities in the pancreas, including dilation of the pancreatic duct and the presence of pseudocysts. This comprehensive evaluation is crucial for establishing a diagnosis and planning subsequent management.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is also addressed, with the article noting its limitations compared to CT scans, particularly in detecting extraductal pancreatic calcifications. The mention of both T1 and T2 weighted images in MRI for assessing severe forms of chronic pancreatitis adds depth to the discussion, as it highlights the nuanced applications of different imaging techniques.

Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) and endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) are presented as crucial diagnostic modalities, with EUS being favored for early or mild chronic pancreatitis. The article effectively conveys the superiority of EUS in detecting subtle changes, which can be critical for timely intervention.

In terms of management, the article outlines a tiered approach based on the severity of the condition. Supportive care, including pain management and nutritional support, is emphasized for mild cases, while severe cases require intensive care and close monitoring. This distinction is vital, as it reflects the varying needs of patients based on the severity of their condition.

The discussion on the pathophysiology of pain in chronic pancreatitis is particularly noteworthy, as it highlights the ongoing challenges faced by both clinicians and patients. The complexity of pain management in chronic pancreatitis is acknowledged, indicating that despite advances in treatment, patients continue to experience significant discomfort.

The article titled "To evaluate the efficacy of diagnostic modalities used in chronic pancreatitis: prospective hospital based observational study" by (Dinesh,

2013) provides a comprehensive overview of the etiology, classification, and genetic developments related to chronic pancreatitis. The author discusses the epidemiological aspects of the condition, noting an incidence rate of approximately 3 to 10 cases per 100,000 individuals and a prevalence rate of about 13 per 100,000 people. This statistical data underscores the relatively low but significant public health concern posed by chronic pancreatitis.

Dinesh highlights that idiopathic chronic pancreatitis is prevalent in both Western countries and India, indicating a need for further investigation into the underlying causes of this form of the disease. The article effectively points out that what was previously classified as tropical pancreatitis in India is now recognized as idiopathic chronic pancreatitis, reflecting an evolution in our understanding of the disease's etiology. This shift emphasizes the importance of continuous research and re-evaluation of existing classifications in light of new evidence.

The author identifies ethanol consumption as the leading cause of chronic pancreatitis, accounting for over 50% of cases. This finding aligns with established knowledge regarding the role of alcohol in the development of pancreatic diseases. However, Dinesh also notes other contributing factors, including hereditary, environmental, anatomical variations, metabolic issues, and genetic predispositions. The discussion of the TIGAR-O classification system is particularly noteworthy, as it categorizes chronic pancreatitis based on etiology and incorporates recent genetic findings, thus providing a more nuanced understanding of the condition.

The article delves into the genetic aspects of chronic pancreatitis, highlighting the SPINK1-N34S gene mutation, which has been closely associated with various forms of the disease, including tropical, alcoholic, and idiopathic chronic pancreatitis. The mention of hereditary pancreatitis, particularly the autosomal dominant form linked to trypsinogen gene mutations, adds a critical dimension to the discussion. The author effectively conveys the significance of genetic research in understanding the pathogenesis of chronic pancreatitis, which has historically been underexplored.

Additionally, the article addresses autoimmune chronic pancreatitis as a distinct entity, characterized by autoimmune features. This recognition enhances the understanding of chronic pancreatitis as a multifactorial disease, necessitating diverse diagnostic and management strategies.

The article titled "Comparative study of drainage procedures in the management of chronic Pancreatitis in Government Mohan Kumaramangalam Medical College, Salem" by (Sivakumar, 2015) provides a comprehensive overview of the etiology, diagnosis, and management strategies for chronic pancreatitis, particularly focusing on surgical interventions.

A significant finding from the study is the identification of chronic alcoholism as the predominant cause of chronic pancreatitis among middle-aged males. This aligns with the established understanding that alcohol abuse is a major risk factor contributing to the disease's onset. The article emphasizes that chronic pancreatitis often manifests through recurrent episodes of acute pancreatitis, leading to progressive pancreatic dysfunction and calcification. This characterization is critical as it underlines the need for early diagnosis, which the author notes is primarily radiological.

The article presents a detailed evaluation of various surgical procedures, particularly highlighting Frey’s procedure, which is noted for its lower complication rates and superior outcomes in pain relief and improvement of both exocrine and endocrine functions. This is a crucial insight, as pain management is often a primary concern for patients suffering from chronic pancreatitis. The comparative analysis of surgical techniques, including Frey’s procedure, the Puestow Gillesby procedure, and Izbicke’s procedure, indicates a trend toward favoring surgical interventions over medical management, particularly in cases where patients experience debilitating pain or complications.

Furthermore, the article discusses the pancreatico-duodenectomy procedure, which, while effective in providing long-term pain relief, is associated with a low mortality rate and persistent risks of complications. This highlights the

complexity of managing chronic pancreatitis, where surgical options, though beneficial, come with their own set of challenges.

Sivakumar's study is significant as it underscores the importance of surgical intervention in chronic pancreatitis management, supported by prospective randomized trials that demonstrate the superiority of surgical options compared to medical treatments. This finding is particularly relevant for clinicians dealing with chronic pancreatitis, as it could influence treatment decisions and patient outcomes.

The article titled "Surgical strategies in the treatment of chronic pancreatitis: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials" by (Zhao et al., 2017) provides a comprehensive overview of the surgical approaches for managing chronic pancreatitis, emphasizing the critical aspects of etiology, diagnosis, and modern management strategies. Chronic pancreatitis is characterized by persistent pancreatic inflammation that results in irreversible damage, leading to significant morbidity and complications such as intractable pain and pancreatic insufficiency.

The authors highlight that the primary indication for surgical intervention is intractable abdominal pain, which significantly impacts patients' quality of life. The article discusses various surgical techniques, notably pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) and duodenum-preserving pancreatic head resection (DPPHR). PD, including the Whipple procedure, has traditionally been viewed as the standard surgical treatment; however, the authors argue that its application may be excessive for benign conditions, given its associated high morbidity and potential for endocrine insufficiency.

A critical evaluation of the findings reveals that while PD has been a conventional approach, the evidence supporting DPPHR as an effective alternative is gaining traction. The authors present data suggesting that DPPHR may offer comparable outcomes to PD with reduced morbidity and mortality, thus making it a viable option for patients with chronic pancreatitis. The meta-analysis cited in the article indicates that DPPHR could lead to shorter hospital stays without

compromising mortality rates or quality of life, which is a significant consideration in surgical management.

Moreover, the article underscores the importance of tailoring surgical interventions based on individual patient factors, including disease location and the presence of malignancy. The authors call attention to the lack of consensus regarding the optimal surgical strategy for pancreatic head resection, highlighting the necessity for further research to establish clear guidelines.

The article "A meta-analysis of the long-term outcomes following surgery or endoscopic therapy for chronic pancreatitis" by (T. Ivashkin et al., 2012) provides a comprehensive examination of the management strategies for chronic pancreatitis, particularly focusing on surgical versus endoscopic interventions. This systematic review adheres to the PRISMA guidelines, ensuring a rigorous approach to literature synthesis and analysis.

The authors conducted an extensive search across three online databases for studies published from 1946 to July 2020, employing a PICO framework to identify relevant randomized controlled trials (RCTs). This methodological rigor is commendable as it enhances the reliability of the findings. The inclusion criteria were well-defined, emphasizing studies that compared surgical and endoscopic treatments with a minimum follow-up of 12 months and specific outcome measures, thereby ensuring that the data analyzed were relevant and robust.

The primary outcome of interest was pain control, which is a critical consideration in the management of chronic pancreatitis, given that patients often suffer from debilitating pain that significantly affects their quality of life. The article also evaluated secondary outcomes, including the incidence of new-onset endocrine and exocrine dysfunction following treatment, which are essential factors for assessing the long-term implications of the interventions.

Data extraction and analysis were performed by two independent authors, which minimizes bias and enhances the credibility of the results. The use of the Cochrane Collaboration tool for assessing the risk of bias in the included studies

further strengthens the article's methodological quality. The authors systematically summarized and analyzed the outcomes, providing a clear picture of the effectiveness of the interventions.

The results indicated statistically significant findings regarding pain relief post-procedure, as well as morbidity and mortality rates associated with both surgical and endoscopic approaches. Such insights are invaluable for clinicians in making informed decisions regarding treatment options for patients with chronic pancreatitis.

However, while the article presents a thorough analysis, it could benefit from a more detailed discussion on the heterogeneity of the included studies and how it might affect the generalizability of the findings. Additionally, a consideration of potential confounding factors that could influence outcomes, such as patient demographics or comorbidities, would provide a more nuanced understanding of the results.

The article titled "Clinical Guidelines of the Russian Society of Surgeons, the Russian Gastroenterological Association, the Association of Surgeons-Hepatologists and the Endoscopic Society 'REndO' on Diagnostics and Treatment of Chronic Pancreatitis" authored by (T. Ivashkin et al., 2012) offers a comprehensive overview of the etiology, diagnosis, and management of chronic pancreatitis, reflecting recent advances and ongoing challenges in the field.

The authors begin by addressing the epidemiology and etiology of chronic pancreatitis, emphasizing the multifactorial nature of the disease. They highlight the significance of alcohol consumption, genetic predispositions, and autoimmune factors as primary contributors to the condition. This multifaceted approach is critical, as it aligns with contemporary understanding that effective management must consider these diverse origins.

In terms of diagnosis, the article provides a detailed examination of current guidelines, including the use of imaging techniques and biomarkers. The authors stress the importance of early and accurate diagnosis to mitigate complications,

particularly pancreatogenic diabetes and the increased risk of pancreatic adenocarcinoma associated with chronic pancreatitis. The inclusion of evidence-based diagnostic criteria enhances the reliability of the guidelines presented.

The management strategies discussed encompass both medical and surgical interventions, recognizing the complexity of the disease's progression. The authors present a structured approach to treatment, which includes addressing pain management, nutritional support, and the surgical management of complications such as biliary and duodenal obstruction. This comprehensive management framework is essential for improving patient outcomes and aligns with the recommendations from the American Pancreatic Association.

Furthermore, the article delves into the implications of chronic pancreatitis on quality of life and the necessity for ongoing patient education and follow-up care. The authors advocate for a multidisciplinary approach, integrating gastroenterologists, surgeons, and dietitians to optimize patient management and support.

The article "Circulating Biomarkers Involved in the Development of and Progression to Chronic Pancreatitis—A Literature Review" by (Vang Poulsen et al., 2014) provides a comprehensive examination of the role that circulating biomarkers play in the pathophysiology of chronic pancreatitis (CP). The authors articulate that CP represents the culmination of ongoing inflammation and fibrosis within the pancreas, leading to irreversible damage to both endocrine and exocrine functions. This review emphasizes the significance of early detection and prevention as pivotal strategies to mitigate the disease burden associated with CP, particularly given the alarming 50% increase in incidence over the past two decades.

The authors effectively synthesize existing literature to highlight the relationship between acute pancreatitis (AP) and the subsequent development of CP, noting that approximately 50% of CP patients have a prior history of AP. This connection underscores the importance of understanding the temporal evolution of biomarkers from the initial inflammatory episodes to the chronic phase

of the disease. The review adeptly discusses how circulating biomarkers can serve as indicators of pancreatic injury and may provide insights into the progression of CP, thus offering a framework for future epidemiological studies.

One of the critical contributions of this article is its focus on the current lack of therapeutic options to alter the course of CP, which results in diminished life expectancy and quality of life for affected individuals. The authors argue that the identification and validation of specific biomarkers could pave the way for new diagnostic and prognostic tools, enhancing the ability to monitor disease progression and potentially guiding preventive measures.

However, while the review is thorough in its examination of circulating biomarkers, it could benefit from a more detailed discussion on the specific types of biomarkers identified in human studies and their respective roles in the pathophysiological processes of CP. Additionally, the article could expand on the implications of these findings for clinical practice, particularly in terms of how early detection through biomarker analysis could influence management strategies for patients at risk of developing CP.

CONCLUSION

The literature on chronic pancreatitis (CP) has significantly advanced, focusing on its etiology, diagnostic methods, and management strategies. This foundational work paved the way for further discussions, particularly regarding the genetic factors contributing to CP. [ref: 3f0bb231-9e5f-4c11-b429-21a653da2ddaref_authors] highlights the importance of genetic testing, especially the SPINK1-N34S mutation, in understanding the pathogenesis of idiopathic chronic pancreatitis. As research progressed, (Sivakumar, 2015) provided valuable insights into the surgical management of CP, identifying chronic alcoholism as a primary cause and demonstrating the efficacy of surgical interventions, such as Frey's operation, in alleviating pain and improving quality of life. This perspective was further supported by (Zhao et al., 2017), whose systematic review and meta-analysis affirmed the effectiveness of various surgical strategies, emphasizing not only pain relief but also the preservation of pancreatic function. The comparative

analysis of surgical and endoscopic therapies by (Ll Hughes et al., 2012) adds depth to the discussion, focusing on long-term outcomes, including pain control and quality of life improvements. This systematic review adheres to high methodological standards, contributing significantly to the discourse on optimal management strategies for CP. Recent clinical guidelines established by (T. Ivashkin et al., 2012) reflect a consensus on the diagnostics and treatment of CP, integrating epidemiological insights and outlining standardized care approaches. These guidelines are crucial for clinicians managing the complexities of CP. Moreover, the exploration of circulating biomarkers by (Vang Poulsen et al., 2014) highlights their potential role in early detection and monitoring of disease progression, suggesting that biomarkers could inform preventive strategies. This underscores the multifaceted nature of CP, where understanding its pathophysiology can lead to improved diagnostic and therapeutic approaches. In conclusion, the body of literature presents a comprehensive view of chronic pancreatitis, illustrating the interplay between diagnostic challenges, evolving management strategies, and the critical role of biomarkers in understanding disease progression. Each study contributes to a growing framework that enhances clinical practice and guides future research in managing this complex condition.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

- Disclosure Statement : The authors have no conflicts of Interest to declare
- Funding Sources : None
- Acknowledgements : -
- Author Contribution : All authors discussed and contributed the final content for journal submission and publication

REFERENCES

1. Shiran, S., 2012. A Study of Spink 1 Mutation and other clinical correlates in idiopathic recurent acute pancreatitis and idiopathic chronic pancreatitis.. [PDF]
2. Sivakumar, G., 2015. Comparitive study of drainage procedures in the management of chronic Pancreatitis in Government Mohan Kumaramangalam Medical College, Salem.. [PDF]

3. Zhao, X., Cui, N., Wang, X., & Cui, Y., 2017. Surgical strategies in the treatment of chronic pancreatitis: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
4. Ll Hughes, D., Hughes, I., & A. Silva, M., 2012. A meta-analysis of the long-term outcomes following surgery or endoscopic therapy for chronic pancreatitis. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
5. T. Ivashkin, V., G. Kriger, A., V. Okhlobystin, A., A. Anischenko, M., S. Kardasheva, S., A. Alekseenko, S., F. Bagnenko, S., I. Bykov, M., A. Budzinskiy, S., M. Buriev, I., A. Vishnevskiy, V., I. Galperin, E., P. Glabay, V., R. Goltsov, V., G. Dyuzheva, T., G. Karmazanovskiy, G., P. Korolev, M., M. Krasilnikov, D., A. Kucheryavy, Y., V. Maev, I., A. Maystrenko, N., F. Osipenko, M., I. Prudkov, M., I. Simanenkov, V., G. Solonitsin, E., V. Fedorov, A., D. Fedorov, E., B. Khlynov, I., V. Chikunova, M., V. Shabunin, A., G. Shapovalyants, S., A. Sheptulin, A., & S. Shifrin, O., 2012. Clinical Guidelines of the Russian Society of Surgeons, the Russian Gastroenterological Association, the Association of Surgeons-Hepatologists and the Endoscopic Society “REndO” on Diagnostics and Treatment of Chronic Pancreatitis. [PDF]
6. Vang Poulsen, V., Hadi, A., Parsberg Werge, M., Gásdal Karstensen, J., & Novovic, S., 2014. Circulating Biomarkers Involved in the Development of and Progression to Chronic Pancreatitis—A Literature Review. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
7. Dinesh, T., 2013. To evaluate the efficacy of diagnostic modalities used in chronic pancreatitis: prospective hospital based observational study. [PDF]