



Screening for Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Adults

¹ A. Cohen, ¹ A. Copus¹ Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science, United States of AmericaCorrespondence : dr.cohen294848@gmail.com

Article History :

Received date : 2023/10/24

Revised date : 2023/11/09

Accepted date : 2023/12/09

Published date : 2024/01/13



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (BY NC) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

ABSTRACT

Background: The literature surrounding the screening for asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) in adults has evolved significantly over the past decade, reflecting changing perspectives on the necessity and efficacy of such practices.

Literature Review: This perspective is supported by evidence suggesting that many individuals with ASB do not benefit from treatment, challenging historical practices that favored over-treatment (E. Nicolle, 2016). The need for antenatal screening remains critical, especially in low-resource settings, where untreated ASB can lead to severe complications during pregnancy (Gehani et al., 2019). Research examining urine testing practices in community health systems reinforces the recommendation against routine screening and treatment of ASB in asymptomatic adults (Brenneman et al., 2021). This study highlights the potential overuse of diagnostic testing and calls for a more judicious approach to urine culture and analysis, aligning with the growing consensus to limit unnecessary medical interventions (Brenneman et al., 2021). Further critical assessments of ASB screening in pregnant women reveal a lack of robust data linking ASB to adverse perinatal outcomes, suggesting that current screening practices may not be justified by the evidence (Hernández-Hernández et al., 2022).

Conclusion: There is a clear trend towards more selective screening practices, particularly emphasizing the importance of targeted interventions for vulnerable populations, such as pregnant women. The need for further research to clarify the implications of ASB treatment, especially in non-pregnant adults, remains vital to inform clinical guidelines and improve patient outcomes.

Keyword: Screening, Asymptomatic Bacteriuria, Adults

INTRODUCTION

The literature surrounding the screening for asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) in adults has evolved significantly over the past decade, reflecting changing perspectives on the necessity and efficacy of such practices. The early work by (Olowe et al., 2013) established a foundational understanding of ASB, particularly within vulnerable populations like the elderly and pregnant women. This study revealed that the prevalence of ASB varies significantly based on demographic factors and highlighted the potential risks associated with untreated bacteriuria, particularly in individuals with predisposing conditions such as diabetes. The authors emphasized the need for targeted screening strategies, especially for those in institutionalized settings, while also raising questions about the benefits of treatment in asymptomatic individuals.

Following this, (Prabha, 2016) expanded the discussion to antenatal women, presenting a comprehensive analysis of the prevalence and susceptibility patterns of bacterial pathogens causing ASB. This work underscored the importance of effective screening methods in pregnant populations, particularly in resource-limited settings where traditional laboratory diagnostics may not be readily available. (Prabha, 2016)'s findings support the notion that ASB can lead to significant adverse outcomes during pregnancy, reinforcing the need for systematic screening protocols.

In the same year, (E. Nicolle, 2016) introduced a paradigm shift by arguing against the treatment of ASB in most adult populations, except for specific cases such as pregnant women and surgical prophylaxis. This article synthesized evidence that challenged previous assumptions about the necessity of screening and treating ASB, particularly in non-pregnant women and the elderly. (E. Nicolle, 2016)'s critical evaluation of existing studies indicated that many did not support routine treatment, suggesting that the risks associated with ASB may not warrant intervention in certain demographic groups.

(Gehani et al., 2019) further emphasized the need for antenatal screening, particularly in low-income countries where the risk factors for ASB are compounded by socio-economic challenges. They presented compelling evidence that untreated ASB can lead to severe complications during pregnancy, advocating for the implementation of effective screening measures. Their work highlighted the disparity in healthcare resources and the urgent need for accessible diagnostic methods to mitigate risks associated with ASB.

(Brenneman et al., 2021) examined current urine testing practices in community health systems, reinforcing (E. Nicolle, 2016)'s recommendations against routine screening and treatment of ASB in asymptomatic adults. Their findings shed light on the implications of existing guidelines and the potential overuse of diagnostic testing, calling for a more judicious approach to urine culture and analysis.

Finally, (Hernández-Hernández et al., 2022) critically assessed the evidence supporting the screening and treatment of ASB in pregnant women, noting a lack of robust data linking ASB to adverse perinatal outcomes. Their systematic review of recent studies highlighted the inconsistency in recommendations across international guidelines, suggesting that the current approach to screening may not be justified by the evidence.

Together, these articles illustrate a complex and evolving landscape regarding the screening and treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria in adults. They reflect a shift towards more nuanced and evidence-based approaches that consider individual risk factors, demographic variations, and the potential consequences of both intervention and non-intervention.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The article "Asymptomatic bacteriuria among elderly and middle-aged rural community-dwellers in South-Western Nigeria" by (Olowe et al., 2013) provides a comprehensive examination of asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB), particularly within the context of elderly and middle-aged individuals residing in rural communities. The authors define ASB as the presence of significant bacteriuria—specifically, 1

$\times 10^5$ or more bacteria per milliliter of voided mid-stream urine—without accompanying symptoms of a urinary tract infection (UTI). This definition is crucial as it establishes the parameters for identifying ASB and highlights its prevalence across different demographics.

The article emphasizes the varying prevalence rates of ASB, which can range from 2% to 10% among pregnant women and from 15% to 50% in elderly individuals residing in long-term care facilities. This variation underscores the importance of considering factors such as age, gender, sexual activity, and pre-existing genitourinary abnormalities when assessing the risk for ASB. The authors note that the elderly, particularly those in institutionalized settings, exhibit a higher prevalence of ASB compared to their community-dwelling counterparts. This finding is significant as it shifts the focus of ASB research from the general population to more vulnerable groups, suggesting a need for targeted screening and management strategies.

Moreover, the article addresses the ongoing debate regarding the clinical significance of ASB and the appropriateness of treatment. The authors indicate that while ASB can lead to serious complications such as pyelonephritis and Gram-negative septicemia in predisposed individuals, such as those with diabetes, the benefits of treating ASB in elderly populations remain contentious. The article highlights that treatment may prevent adverse outcomes in specific groups, including pregnant women and patients undergoing invasive procedures, yet questions the necessity of intervention in asymptomatic elderly patients, even in institutional settings.

The article titled "Isolation and characterization of bacterial pathogens causing asymptomatic bacteriuria in antenatal women attending Tertiary care hospital" by (Prabha, 2016) provides a comprehensive examination of asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) specifically within the antenatal population in India. The study is pivotal as it highlights the prevalence, risk factors, and antibacterial susceptibility patterns associated with ASB among pregnant women,

a demographic that is particularly vulnerable to urinary tract infections (UTIs) and their complications.

Prabha's research underscores the significance of screening for ASB in antenatal women, given that untreated bacteriuria can lead to adverse pregnancy outcomes such as pyelonephritis, preterm labor, and low birth weight ((Prabha, 2016)). The study conducted at Cu Shah Medical College and Hospital in Surendranagar, Gujarat, indicates a notable prevalence of ASB among the participants, suggesting that routine screening in this population is critical. The findings align with existing literature that emphasizes the importance of early detection and treatment of ASB to mitigate potential risks to both maternal and fetal health.

Furthermore, the article delves into the antibacterial susceptibility patterns of the isolated pathogens, providing valuable insights into the effectiveness of commonly prescribed antibiotics in the region. This information is essential for clinicians as it can guide empirical treatment choices and inform local antibiotic stewardship efforts. The susceptibility patterns observed in the study reveal a concerning trend of resistance, which may complicate the management of UTIs in this vulnerable population ((Prabha, 2016)).

Prabha also discusses various risk factors associated with ASB, including socio-demographic variables and obstetric history. This multifaceted approach not only enriches the understanding of ASB in antenatal women but also highlights the need for targeted interventions that address these risk factors. The article effectively contributes to the existing body of knowledge by providing localized data that can inform public health policies and clinical practices regarding the screening and management of ASB in pregnant women.

In "The Paradigm Shift to Non-Treatment of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria," (E. Nicolle, 2016) presents a comprehensive analysis of current evidence-based guidelines concerning the screening and treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria. The article emphasizes a critical shift in clinical practice, advocating that screening for asymptomatic bacteriuria should be limited to specific populations, namely

pregnant women and individuals undergoing certain urological procedures. This recommendation is grounded in a robust body of evidence that suggests the vast majority of adults, including premenopausal non-pregnant women, diabetic women, elderly institutionalized individuals, and patients with indwelling catheters, should not be screened or treated for asymptomatic bacteriuria.

Nicolle's examination of the literature reveals strong evidence against the treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria in these populations, which is significant given the historical tendency to over-treat such conditions. The article cites multiple studies indicating that the presence of bacteriuria does not correlate with adverse outcomes, such as decreased renal function or increased risk of severe infections. For instance, findings from recent studies highlighted that asymptomatic bacteriuria was not associated with a decline in creatinine clearance over time, nor did treatment effectively reduce the incidence of symptomatic urinary tract infections ((E. Nicolle, 2016)). This challenges long-standing beliefs and underscores the necessity of reevaluating clinical practices that have often led to unnecessary antibiotic use.

Moreover, Nicolle points out that while the evidence for not treating asymptomatic bacteriuria is robust for most groups, it remains of lower quality for older adults living in the community and patients with spinal cord injuries. This indicates a gap in research that warrants further investigation, as the implications of treatment or non-treatment in these populations are not fully understood.

The article also addresses the specific context of surgical prophylaxis, noting that asymptomatic bacteriuria identified prior to certain surgical procedures, such as joint replacements, is significantly associated with increased risks of post-operative infections. This highlights the need for targeted screening in high-risk surgical populations, contrasting with the broader recommendation against routine screening in the general adult population.

The article "Unmet Need of Antenatal Screening for Asymptomatic Bacteriuria: A Risk Factor for Adverse Outcomes of Pregnancy" by (Gehani et al., 2019) provides a comprehensive analysis of the implications of asymptomatic

bacteriuria (ASB) during pregnancy and emphasizes the critical need for effective screening protocols. The authors highlight that undetected and untreated ASB can lead to significant adverse outcomes, including preterm labor, hypertension, anemia, acute pyelonephritis, intrauterine growth retardation, and low birth weight. These findings underscore the importance of early detection and treatment of ASB to mitigate these risks.

The article argues convincingly for routine screening of pregnant women, recommending urine culture as a standard practice at least once between 12 and 16 weeks of gestation, as endorsed by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the US Preventive Services Task Force. The authors support their claims with evidence that screening and subsequent treatment of ASB can significantly reduce the incidence of pyelonephritis and the likelihood of delivering low birth weight infants.

However, the article also presents a critical evaluation of the current screening methods, noting that urine culture is resource-intensive and may not be feasible in low-resource settings. This raises an important issue regarding accessibility and practicality in diverse healthcare environments. The authors call for the development of a rapid, valid, inexpensive, and user-friendly test that could facilitate timely and evidence-based interventions for positive cases without necessitating a follow-up visit. This suggestion is particularly pertinent in light of the disparities in healthcare access that exist globally.

The article "Evaluation of Urinalysis and Urine Culture Use at a Community Health-system" by (Brenneman et al., 2021) presents a critical examination of the practices surrounding urinalysis and urine culture in a community health system, specifically in the context of asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB). The authors align their investigation with the guidelines set forth by the Infectious Diseases Society of America, which advocate against routine screening and treatment of ASB in asymptomatic patients.

The study's primary aim is to characterize the current urine testing practices within the health system and to evaluate their implications for the identification and

management of ASB in hospitalized adults. Through a systematic analysis, the authors reveal that despite the guidelines, there remains a significant prevalence of urinalysis and urine culture testing among patients who do not present symptoms of a urinary tract infection (UTI). This finding raises concerns about the adherence to established guidelines and the potential for unnecessary treatment, which could lead to adverse outcomes such as antibiotic resistance.

Brenneman et al. ((Brenneman et al., 2021)) provide a detailed overview of the methodology employed in their study, which includes a review of urine testing records and an assessment of the clinical outcomes associated with ASB. They highlight the discrepancies between recommended practices and actual clinical behavior, suggesting that there may be underlying factors contributing to the continued screening of asymptomatic individuals. The authors emphasize the need for increased education and awareness among healthcare providers regarding the guidelines to mitigate inappropriate testing and treatment.

The implications of this research are significant, as they underscore the importance of aligning clinical practices with evidence-based guidelines to improve patient care and reduce the burden of unnecessary medical interventions. The authors conclude that a concerted effort is required to change the prevailing attitudes towards ASB screening, which could ultimately enhance patient outcomes and support antimicrobial stewardship initiatives.

The article "Recurrent Urinary Tract Infections and Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Adults" by (Hernández-Hernández et al., 2022) provides a critical examination of the current understanding and guidelines surrounding asymptomatic bacteriuria (AB) in adults, particularly in the context of pregnancy. The authors highlight a significant gap in the evidence supporting routine screening and treatment for AB, which is a key aspect of many international guidelines.

One of the central arguments presented in the article is the low level of evidence backing the recommendation for screening and treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant women. The authors point out that despite the prevalence of AB and its association with recurrent urinary tract infections, the mechanisms

connecting AB to more severe outcomes, such as pyelonephritis and perinatal complications, remain poorly understood. This lack of clarity is compounded by the high risk of bias present in many available studies, which undermines the reliability of their findings.

The article references a pivotal randomized controlled trial conducted in the Netherlands between 2011 and 2014, which was the first of its kind since 1987. This trial involved over 5,000 pregnant women and compared the outcomes of those who received treatment with nitrofurantoin, a placebo, or no intervention. The results indicated that while there was a slightly higher incidence of urinary tract infections and pyelonephritis among untreated women with asymptomatic bacteriuria, there were no significant differences in perinatal complications between the groups. This finding challenges the conventional "screen-and-treat" approach and suggests that the benefits of routine screening may not be as substantial as previously thought.

Furthermore, the systematic review mentioned in the article reinforces these conclusions by failing to provide consistent evidence in favor of routine screening for AB in pregnant women. The authors argue that this systematic review, which applied stricter inclusion criteria, underscores the need for a reassessment of current practices and guidelines.

CONCLUSION

The literature on screening for asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) in adults indicates a significant shift in understanding the necessity and efficacy of screening practices, particularly in vulnerable populations. Early foundational work highlighted the prevalence of ASB among groups such as the elderly and pregnant women, emphasizing the need for targeted screening strategies in these demographics (Olowe et al., 2013). As research progressed, the focus expanded to include the implications of untreated ASB, particularly in antenatal women, where effective screening methods are critical to prevent adverse pregnancy outcomes (Prabha, 2016). A notable paradigm shift occurred with the argument against routine treatment for ASB in most adult populations, except for pregnant women

and specific surgical cases (E. Nicolle, 2016). This perspective is supported by evidence suggesting that many individuals with ASB do not benefit from treatment, challenging historical practices that favored over-treatment (E. Nicolle, 2016). The need for antenatal screening remains critical, especially in low-resource settings, where untreated ASB can lead to severe complications during pregnancy (Gehani et al., 2019). Research examining urine testing practices in community health systems reinforces the recommendation against routine screening and treatment of ASB in asymptomatic adults (Brenneman et al., 2021). This study highlights the potential overuse of diagnostic testing and calls for a more judicious approach to urine culture and analysis, aligning with the growing consensus to limit unnecessary medical interventions (Brenneman et al., 2021). Further critical assessments of ASB screening in pregnant women reveal a lack of robust data linking ASB to adverse perinatal outcomes, suggesting that current screening practices may not be justified by the evidence (Hernández-Hernández et al., 2022). This inconsistency across international guidelines indicates a need for more nuanced and evidence-based approaches that consider individual risk factors and demographic variations. In conclusion, the literature illustrates a complex and evolving understanding of ASB screening and treatment in adults. There is a clear trend towards more selective screening practices, particularly emphasizing the importance of targeted interventions for vulnerable populations, such as pregnant women. The need for further research to clarify the implications of ASB treatment, especially in non-pregnant adults, remains vital to inform clinical guidelines and improve patient outcomes.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

- Disclosure Statement : The authors have no conflicts of Interest to declare
- Funding Sources : None
- Acknowledgements : -
- Author Contribution : All authors discussed and contributed the final content for journal submission and publication

REFERENCES

1. Olowe, O. A., Makanjuola, O. B., Olabiyi, K. O., Akinwusi, P. O., Alebiosu, C. O., Isawumi, M. A., Hassan, M. B., Asekun-Olarinmoye, E. O., Adebimpe, W. O., & Adewole, T. A., 2013. Asymptomatic bacteriuria among elderly and middle-aged rural community-dwellers in South-Western Nigeria. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
2. Prabha, P., 2016. Isolation and characterization of bacterial pathogens causing asymptomatic bacteriuria in antenatal women attending Tertiary care hospital. [PDF]
3. E. Nicolle, L., 2016. The Paradigm Shift to Non-Treatment of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
4. Gehani, M., Kapur, S., Bhardwaj, P., Nag, V., Manni Balasubramaniam, S., Kammili, N., & D. Madhuri, S., 2019. Unmet Need of Antenatal Screening for Asymptomatic Bacteriuria: A Risk Factor for Adverse Outcomes of Pregnancy. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
5. Brennenman, M., C Bohn, B., E Moore, S., Wilde, A., Wilde, A., & Song, M., 2021. 85. Evaluation of Urinalysis and Urine Culture Use at a Community Health-system. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
6. Hernández-Hernández, D., Padilla-Fernández, B., Yanira Ortega-González, M., & Manuel Castro-Díaz, D., 2022. Recurrent Urinary Tract Infections and Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Adults. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov