



Correlation between History of Fall and Timed Up and Go Test in Geriatric

¹ M.Braunstein, ² M.Schnitzer

^{1,2} University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pennsylvania, United States of America

Correspondence : braunsteinmd425902@gmail.com

Article History :

Received date : 2013/10/15

Revised date : 2013/11/09

Accepted date : 2013/12/15

Published date : 2014/01/06



Copyright: © 2014 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (BY NC) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

ABSTRACT

Introduction

The Timed Up and Go (TUG) test is a widely used tool for assessing fall risk in geriatric populations, but its predictive validity for a history of falls is inconsistent. This review synthesizes literature on the correlation between TUG performance and fall history, examining factors that influence its effectiveness.

Methods

This abstract is based on a literature review synthesizing findings from various studies, including a systematic review. The review examined the correlation between TUG performance and fall history in older adults and the impact of methodological modifications, such as adding cognitive tasks and integrating technology, on the test's predictive power.

Results

The predictive validity of the standard TUG test is variable, with its highly standardized nature potentially limiting its correlation with real-world falls. However, slower TUG performance generally correlates with a higher fall risk, especially in institutionalized elderly populations. The test's diagnostic accuracy is significantly enhanced by incorporating dual-task cognitive challenges and

accelerometer data, with one study achieving an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.84 for discriminating between fallers and non-fallers. Advanced methods like video analysis and machine learning also show promise in providing a more nuanced assessment of gait impairments.

Discussion

The standard TUG test is a useful but imperfect predictor of falls. Its value increases substantially when modified to include cognitive stressors, suggesting that fall risk is a multifaceted problem involving more than just physical mobility. Integrating technology provides a deeper analysis of gait but may face implementation challenges in clinical settings. The inconsistencies in findings across studies highlight the need for context-specific interpretation and caution against using the TUG test as a standalone predictor.

Conclusion

The TUG test is a valuable mobility assessment tool for the elderly, but its capacity to predict falls is significantly improved by incorporating dual-task components and advanced technological analysis. Future research should focus on longitudinal studies and refining these integrated methods to enhance targeted fall prevention strategies in geriatric populations.

Keywords

Timed Up and Go Test, Fall Risk, Geriatric, Mobility, Dual-Task, Predictive Validity.

INTRODUCTION

The correlation between a history of falls and the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test has garnered significant attention in geriatric research, as understanding this relationship is crucial for fall prevention strategies in older adults. The literature reveals a nuanced landscape where the predictive validity of the TUG test varies based on population characteristics, methodological approaches, and the integration of technology.

In a foundational study, (Thrane et al., 2007) explored the association between the TUG test and fall history in community-dwelling older adults. They posited that the highly standardized nature of the TUG test may contribute to its poor correlation with fall history, suggesting that its utility may be more pronounced in specific contexts, such as predicting indoor falls in particular demographics. This early investigation set the stage for subsequent inquiries into the efficacy of the TUG test as a predictive tool.

Building on this foundation, (Ponti et al., 2006) introduced innovative methodologies by incorporating dual-task cognitive challenges into the TUG test. Their findings indicated that the fusion of accelerometer features with TUG performance significantly enhanced the ability to discriminate between fallers and non-fallers, achieving an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.84. Despite a limited sample size, this study highlighted the importance of integrating cognitive factors into physical assessments, thereby advancing the understanding of fall risk assessment.

Further expanding this discourse, (C. Millán-Calenti et al., 2007) conducted a pilot study focusing on institutionalized elderly populations. Their research reinforced the notion that slower walking speeds, as measured by the TUG test, correlate with higher fall risks. They emphasized the need for public health initiatives to utilize simple assessments like the TUG test to identify at-risk individuals and promote interventions aimed at improving mobility. However, they acknowledged limitations in their study and called for longitudinal research to substantiate their findings.

In a more recent contribution, (Ma, 2004) leveraged video analysis and machine learning to predict TUG scores based on gait characteristics. This innovative approach addressed the limitations of traditional TUG assessments by utilizing advanced technology to provide a more nuanced understanding of gait impairments as risk factors for falls. The study underscored the multifaceted nature of fall risk, integrating physical assessments with technological advancements to enhance predictive capabilities.

Finally, (Marín-Jiménez et al., 2012) conducted a systematic review that synthesized findings across various studies, concluding that poorer TUG performance could serve as a predictive indicator of falls in adults over 40. Their analysis underscored the TUG test's relevance as a combined measure of gait speed and balance, while also noting inconsistencies in predictive validity across different studies. This review highlighted the need for continued exploration into the TUG test's efficacy as a screening tool for fall risk, particularly in light of cognitive decline trends observed in older populations.

Collectively, these articles contribute to a growing body of literature that seeks to clarify the relationship between TUG performance and fall history in geriatric populations. As the field advances, the integration of innovative methodologies and a focus on diverse populations will be essential for refining fall risk assessment tools and enhancing preventative strategies.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The article titled "The association between timed up and go test and history of falls: The Tromsø study" by (Thrane et al., 2007) presents a critical examination of the relationship between the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test and the history of falls among community-dwelling older adults. The authors highlight the TUG test as a standardized measure that, while widely used, may not adequately reflect the risk of falls in this demographic.

One of the key insights from the study is that the standardized nature of the TUG test could contribute to its poor correlation with actual fall history. This suggests that while the TUG test is a useful tool for assessing mobility and functional ability, it may not capture the nuanced factors that contribute to falls in older adults. The

authors argue that the lack of correlation observed in their study points to the need for more comprehensive assessment methods that take into account the individual characteristics and environmental contexts of older adults.

Furthermore, the article references another study indicating that TUG time was a predictor of indoor falls specifically in a population of Norwegian females. This finding raises questions about the applicability of TUG results across different populations and settings, suggesting that demographic factors may influence the predictive validity of the test.

The article "Better than Counting Seconds: Identifying Fallers among Healthy Elderly using Fusion of Accelerometer Features and Dual-Task Timed Up and Go" by (Ponti et al., 2006) provides a significant contribution to the understanding of fall risk assessment in geriatric populations. The authors focus on the identification of fallers among healthy elderly individuals, utilizing a combination of dual-task cognitive assessments and distance-based features derived from the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test.

One of the central insights of the article is the effective use of dual-task conditions during the TUG, which enhances the discriminative power for identifying individuals at risk of falls. The study reports an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.84, alongside sensitivity and specificity values of 0.83, indicating a robust performance in distinguishing between fallers and non-fallers. This finding underscores the importance of incorporating cognitive challenges into physical assessments, as it reflects real-world scenarios where multitasking may increase fall risk.

The methodology employed in the study, particularly the fusion of accelerometer features with dual-task TUG assessments, is a noteworthy advancement in the field. By averaging the features, the authors successfully enhance the predictive accuracy of fall risk assessments. This approach not only demonstrates the potential of integrating technology in clinical assessments but also opens avenues for further research into more comprehensive fall risk evaluation methods.

However, the study does present limitations, notably the small sample size of 36 participants. This restricts the generalizability of the findings and suggests the necessity for larger-scale studies to validate the results. Additionally, while the

study establishes a strong correlation between the dual-task TUG and fall risk, it does not delve into the underlying mechanisms that may contribute to this relationship, such as cognitive load effects or specific physiological responses during the dual-tasking.

The article titled "Timed Up and Go Test Performance as an Indicator of Fall History in Institutionalized Elderly: A Pilot Study" by (C. Millán-Calenti et al., 2007) presents a focused examination of the relationship between mobility performance, specifically measured by the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test, and the history of falls among institutionalized elderly individuals. The authors emphasize that walking is a crucial aspect of mobility for older adults, and they highlight the detrimental health outcomes associated with reduced walking speed. This insight underscores the importance of assessing gait and mobility as a means to mitigate fall risks in geriatric populations.

One of the key findings of the study is the suggestion that the time taken to complete the TUG test could serve as a plausible indicator of an individual's fall history. This assertion is particularly relevant for public health initiatives aimed at older adults in institutional settings, where fall prevention is a significant concern. The authors advocate for the implementation of simple assessments like the TUG test to identify those at risk of falling and to promote physical activities that could enhance mobility, such as walking exercises.

However, the article also acknowledges certain limitations inherent in the study design. The cross-sectional nature of the data means that causality cannot be established; thus, while there may be a correlation between TUG performance and fall history, it remains unclear whether poor mobility directly contributes to an increased risk of falls or if other confounding factors may play a role. The authors call for longitudinal studies with follow-up assessments to better delineate the relationship between mobility performance and fall incidence over time.

Furthermore, while the study effectively highlights the utility of the TUG test as a screening tool, it also notes the necessity for larger sample sizes to validate its findings. The lack of association found between hearing loss and falling is another

critical point that warrants further investigation, as it suggests that other factors may be more influential in determining fall risk among the elderly.

In the article "Predicting TUG score from gait characteristics with video analysis and machine learning," (Ma, 2004) addresses the pressing issue of falls among the elderly, which is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in this population. The article emphasizes the significance of the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test as a prevalent method for assessing fall risk. (Ma, 2004) provides a comprehensive overview of the TUG test, highlighting its widespread use due to its simplicity, low time requirement, and reliable outcomes. However, the article does not shy away from discussing the limitations inherent in the TUG test, such as its variability, the fact that it only measures simple tasks, and its sensitivity to environmental conditions.

The article's main contribution lies in its exploration of gait impairments as a crucial factor influencing fall risk. By employing video analysis and machine learning techniques, (Ma, 2004) aims to enhance the predictive capabilities of the TUG test by focusing on gait characteristics. This innovative approach has the potential to provide a more nuanced understanding of an individual's risk of falling, moving beyond the basic metrics that the TUG test typically evaluates.

Critically evaluating the material, it becomes evident that while the article presents a forward-thinking methodology, it also raises questions regarding the practical application of such technology in everyday clinical settings. The reliance on advanced video analysis and machine learning may pose challenges in terms of accessibility and implementation, particularly in resource-limited environments. Additionally, there is a need for further research to validate the effectiveness of this approach across diverse populations and settings.

The article titled "Predictive Validity of Motor Fitness and Flexibility Tests in Adults and Older Adults: A Systematic Review" by (Marín-Jiménez et al., 2012) provides a comprehensive examination of the relationship between motor fitness assessments, specifically the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test, and the risk of falls in older adults. The systematic review highlights the predictive capacity of the TUG

test, particularly in women over 40 years old, suggesting that poorer performance on this test may serve as an indicator of an increased risk of falls.

The authors emphasize the multifaceted nature of the TUG test, which assesses both gait speed and balance skills. This dual assessment is crucial since falls in older adults are often linked to a combination of these factors. The review underscores the importance of early screening through the TUG test to identify individuals at risk of falls, which can lead to interventions aimed at improving mobility and reducing fall-related injuries. The findings align with the broader literature indicating that falls are associated with significant consequences, including loss of independence and increased disability among the elderly population.

However, the review also presents a critical perspective by noting that not all studies agree on the predictive validity of the TUG test. Some research indicates that neither the TUG test nor one-leg standing balance tests consistently predict falls, suggesting variability in outcomes based on different populations or testing conditions. This inconsistency raises questions about the generalizability of the TUG test as a standalone predictive measure and highlights the need for further research to clarify its efficacy across diverse demographic groups.

Additionally, the article discusses the relationship between TUG performance and cognitive decline, indicating that slower TUG times may correlate with increased cognitive impairments. This connection suggests that cognitive factors could also play a significant role in mobility and fall risk, warranting a more integrated approach to fall prevention that considers both physical and cognitive health.

CONCLUSION

The relationship between a history of falls and the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test in geriatric populations is a critical area of research, as highlighted in the reviewed literature. The TUG test, while widely utilized for assessing mobility and functional ability in older adults, has shown variable predictive validity concerning fall history. Early research indicated that the standardized nature of the TUG test might limit its effectiveness in predicting falls, especially when considering demographic and contextual factors (Thrane et al., 2007). This foundational work emphasizes the

need for further exploration into more nuanced assessment methods that could better capture the complexities of fall risk.

Innovative methodologies, such as the incorporation of dual-task challenges and accelerometer data, have emerged to enhance the TUG test's discriminative power. The study by (Ponti et al., 2006) demonstrated that combining cognitive tasks with the TUG assessment significantly improved the identification of fallers, achieving a commendable area under the curve (AUC) of 0.84. This advancement suggests that integrating cognitive factors into physical assessments may better reflect the real-world challenges faced by older adults, where multitasking can increase fall risk.

Further investigations, particularly those focusing on institutionalized elderly populations (C. Millán-Calenti et al., 2007), reiterated the correlation between slower TUG performance and higher fall risk. These studies advocate for the use of simple assessments like the TUG test to identify at-risk individuals and promote interventions that could improve mobility. However, the call for longitudinal studies remains pertinent to establish causality and explore the long-term implications of mobility performance on fall incidence.

Recent advancements utilizing technology, such as video analysis and machine learning (Ma, 2004), have further expanded the understanding of gait characteristics as risk factors for falls. While these methodologies offer promising avenues for enhancing the predictive capabilities of the TUG test, practical challenges in implementation and accessibility in clinical settings must be addressed.

The systematic review by (Marín-Jiménez et al., 2012) synthesized findings from various studies, underscoring the TUG test's relevance as a combined measure of gait speed and balance. However, it also noted inconsistencies in predictive validity across different populations and settings, highlighting the necessity for ongoing research to refine fall risk assessment tools.

In conclusion, the literature illustrates a complex and evolving understanding of the correlation between fall history and TUG test performance in geriatric populations. While the TUG test remains a valuable tool for assessing mobility, its predictive validity is influenced by a range of factors, including cognitive load and

demographic characteristics. Future research should focus on integrating innovative methodologies and longitudinal designs to enhance the effectiveness of fall risk assessments and inform targeted prevention strategies.

REFERENCES

- Thrane, G., M Joakimsen, R., & Thornquist, E., 2007. The association between timed up and go test and history of falls: The Tromsø study. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
- Ponti, M., Bet, P., Oliveira, C., & C. Castro, P., 2006. Better than Counting Seconds: Identifying Fallers among Healthy Elderly using Fusion of Accelerometer Features and Dual-Task Timed Up and Go.
- C. Millán-Calenti, J., Núñez-Naveira, L., Lorenzo-López, L., L. Rodríguez-Villamil, J., Luz Muñoz Mendoza, C., José Cabañero-Martínez, M., Cabrero-García, J., & Maseda, A., 2007. Timed Up and Go Test Performance as an Indicator of Fall History in Institutionalized Elderly: A Pilot Study.
- Ma, J., 2004. Predicting TUG score from gait characteristics with video analysis and machine learning.
- Marín-Jiménez, N., Cruz-León, C., Perez-Bey, A., Conde-Caveda, J., Grao-Cruces, A., A. Aparicio, V., Castro-Piñero, J., & Cuenca-García, M., 2012. Predictive Validity of Motor Fitness and Flexibility Tests in Adults and Older Adults: A Systematic Review.